

Quarterly Report of Analytical Results for the CEMP Air Sampling Network

The CEMP air-sampling network is designed to monitor and collect radioactive airborne particles from NTS and non-NTS related activities, as well as background environmental sources. This report is provided to the station managers as a summary of the results from the analysis of the air samples they have collected as part of the community environmental monitoring program.

In general, the CEMP air-sampling network is comprised of 28 continuously operating environmental sampling stations. A total of 26 stations are equipped with a low volume air sampler/totalizer configuration to collect particulate radionuclides on glass fiber filter paper. Ideally, the samples are collected on a weekly basis with a target collection time of 168 hours (one week). The samplers are calibrated on a monthly basis by DRI to maintain a collection rate of 2.0 cfm (@ STP). All relevant information such as collection times, variations in flow rate, actual flow volumes, power outages, and other information documenting the integrity of the sample are recorded by the station managers. This allows for the proper interpretation of the analytical results. The air filters are analyzed by a commercial laboratory for gross alpha/beta activity as well as by high-resolution gamma spectrometry. The filters are composited on a quarterly basis (13 weeks) for gamma spectroscopy analysis only after the gross alpha/beta analyses have been completed.

The principle reporting units used in the U.S. for the measurement of radioactivity in the atmospheric environment is pCi/m^3 (picocuries per cubic meter). DRI receives its data from the lab as microcuries per filter, which is then recalculated to microcuries per milliliter based on the information provided by the station managers as well as monthly calibration results. This is the notation used for DRI internal databases and annual reports to DOE/NNSA. For the ease in constructing the tables contained in this report, as well as to hopefully the ease of comparison among stations and previous results, the units of pCi/m^3 are used.

A summary of the second quarter CY2006 analytical results for gross alpha and beta analyses are found in Tables 1 and 2. These tables show the minimum, maximum, and average values for each of the stations of the air-sampling network. The last column shows the average annual value from the previous year (CY2005) for comparison purposes. Overall the gross alpha results for the second quarter of CY2006 reflect similar values to the previous quarters. These data remain consistent with the average CY2005 analyses used for comparison, especially when analytical error is considered. The second quarter CY2006 beta results are also consistent with previous results.

The second quarter gamma results for CY2006 are shown in Tables 3. All of the samples are again gamma spectrum negligible (i.e. gamma emitting radionuclides were not detected) with the exception of Beryllium (Be)-7 and occasionally Lead(Pb)-210, naturally occurring elements of the atmospheric and geological environment. Overall, these data are consistent with previous analytical results.

The TLD results for the second quarter of CY2006 are shown in Table 4. Overall, the results display similar values to the previous quarters of this calendar year. The 2005 PIC

exposure rate and TLD data are also shown for comparison. As with historical data, TLD values are commonly lower than the PIC results. The overall estimated annual exposure based on the second quarter shows consistent agreement with CY2005.

Finally, as station managers, your input concerning the contents of these reports are welcome and encouraged. We are interested in anything you feel would be helpful for you to interpret the data or to enable you to explain the information to someone in your community not familiar with the program.

Table 1. Gross Alpha Analytical Results for the Second Quarter of Calendar Year 2006
(Average analytical error, +/- 0.0007)

Station	Minimum (pCi/m ³)	Maximum (pCi/m ³)	Average (pCi/m ³)	2005 Average (pCi/m ³)
Alamo	0.0005	0.0028	0.0014	0.0026
Amargosa	0.0006	0.0032	0.0013	0.0017
Beatty	0.0002	0.0018	0.0011	0.0018
Boulder City	0.0015	0.0037	0.0024	0.0032
Caliente	0.0007	0.0034	0.0017	0.0024
Cedar City	0.0006	0.0018	0.0011	0.0021
Delta	0.0005	0.0017	0.0010	0.0016
Ely	0.0002	0.0019	0.0009	0.0014
Garden Valley	0.0005	0.0018	0.0011	0.0015
Goldfield	0.0004	0.0030	0.0012	0.0017
Henderson	0.0005	0.0017	0.0012	0.0016
Indian Springs	0.0006	0.0017	0.0010	0.0014
Las Vegas	0.0011	0.0040	0.0024	0.0026
Mesquite	0.0005	0.0020	0.0013	N.A.
Milford	0.0003	0.0014	0.0011	0.0016
Nyala	0.0006	0.0015	0.0009	0.0011
Overton	0.0006	0.0027	0.0014	0.0020
Pahrump	0.0004	0.0028	0.0013	0.0016

Pioche	0.0003	0.0013	0.0009	0.0013
Rachel	0.0006	0.0020	0.0013	0.0016
Sarcobatus	0.0007	0.0029	0.0016	0.0028
Shoshone	0.0003	0.0021	0.0012	N.A.
St. George	0.0006	0.0018	0.0011	0.0016
Stone Cabin	0.0005	0.0017	0.0010	0.0014
Tonopah	0.0004	0.0015	0.0010	0.0015
Twin Springs	0.0004	0.0013	0.0008	0.0013

Table 2. Gross Beta Analytical Results for the Second Quarter of Calendar Year 2006.
(Average analytical error, +/- 0.003)

Station	Minimum (pCi/m ³)	Maximum (pCi/m ³)	Average (pCi/m ³)	2005 Average (pCi/m ³)
Alamo	0.014	0.027	0.021	0.022
Amargosa	0.011	0.030	0.022	0.022
Beatty	0.010	0.029	0.020	0.022
Boulder City	0.013	0.034	0.023	0.024
Caliente	0.013	0.033	0.022	0.022
Cedar City	0.012	0.029	0.020	0.020
Delta	0.013	0.027	0.020	0.022
Ely	0.011	0.027	0.020	0.019
Garden Valley	0.014	0.028	0.021	0.020
Goldfield	0.010	0.028	0.019	0.021
Henderson	0.012	0.029	0.020	0.021
Indian Springs	0.010	0.029	0.019	0.020
Las Vegas	0.014	0.035	0.024	0.022
Mesquite	0.014	0.030	0.022	N.A.
Milford	0.012	0.029	0.021	0.022
Nyala	0.011	0.024	0.017	0.017
Overton	0.014	0.032	0.022	0.023
Pahrump	0.011	0.029	0.021	0.021

Pioche	0.009	0.026	0.019	0.018
Rachel	0.012	0.026	0.020	0.021
Sarcobatus	0.010	0.031	0.021	0.023
Shoshone	0.009	0.031	0.022	N.A.
St. George	0.013	0.027	0.021	0.022
Stone Cabin	0.012	0.026	0.019	0.019
Tonopah	0.008	0.027	0.018	0.020
Twin Springs	0.011	0.025	0.018	0.020

Table 3. Gamma Spectroscopy Results for the Second Quarter of Calendar Year 2006.

Station	Cs-137 (pCi/sample)	Cs-137 (MDC)	Be-7 (pCi/m ³)	Pb-210 (pCi/m ³)
Alamo	-4.1	14.0	0.104	N.D.
Amargosa	9.6	9.2	0.110	N.D.
Beatty	-1.3	15.0	0.126	N.D.
Boulder City	-1.2	10.0	0.108	N.D.
Caliente	1.0	11.0	0.123	N.D.
Cedar City	5.2	18.0	0.137	N.D.
Delta	-4.1	13.0	0.126	N.D.
Ely	-9.8	13.0	N.D.	N.D.
Garden Valley	4.3	20.0	N.D.	N.D.
Goldfield	-0.6	15.0	0.034	N.D.
Henderson	-3.6	17.0	0.108	N.D.
Indian Springs	-3.2	15.0	0.117	N.D.
Las Vegas	10.1	18.0	0.073	N.D.
Mesquite	3.4	20.0	0.129	N.D.
Milford	8.4	11.0	0.038	N.D.
Nyala	-2.5	11.0	0.086	N.D.
Overton	2.8	9.4	0.037	N.D.
Pahrump	5.6	18.0	0.096	N.D.

Pioche	2.0	15.0	0.108	N.D.
Rachel	8.8	12.0	0.084	N.D.
Sarcobatus	0.8	12.0	0.102	N.D.
Shoshone	1.6	19.0	0.111	0.018
St. George	9.3	18.0	0.121	N.D.
Stone Cabin	3.7	18.0	0.083	N.D.
Tonopah	-0.6	18.0	0.116	0.016
Twin Springs	4.6	13.0	0.089	N.D.

MDC Be-7 = 0.022 pCi/m³ Pb-210 = 0.006 pCi/m³ N.D. = not detected

Table 4. TLD Analytical Results for the Second Quarter of Calendar Year 2006

Station	Second Quarter Exposure (mR)	Est. Annual Exposure (mR/yr)	2005 TLD Exposure (mR/yr)	2005 PIC Exposure (mR/yr)
Alamo	30	112	114	117
Amargosa	27	106	109	110
Beatty	35	130	143	147
Boulder City	26	103	111	130
Caliente	31	124	128	135
Cedar City	23	94	97	96
Delta	24	98	99	91
Ely	26	103	105	105
Garden Valley	40	148	149	148
Goldfield	32	119	126	126
Henderson	28	111	121	133
Indian Springs	24	94	106	100
Las Vegas	25	100	97	94
Medlins Ranch	36	134	137	145
Mesquite	26	103	N.A.	102
Milford	33	135	147	184
Nyala	29	108	116	114
Overton	22	87	93	87
Pahrump	20	79	82	59
Pioche	29	116	118	131

Rachel	33	123	133	130
Sarcobatus	39	145	147	140
Shoshone	29	114	N.A.	N.A.
St. George	21	87	91	80
Stone Cabin	42	158	139	150
Tonopah	34	127	134	135
Twin Springs	35	132	155	168
